

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 08/978,235 11/25/97 MASS Ν 1874-4014 **EXAMINER** IM62/0403 MORGAN & FINNEGAN JUSKA, C 345 PARK AVENUE PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NEW YORK NY 10154 1771 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

04/03/00

Application No. 08/978,235 Applicant(s)

Mass et al.

Advisory Action

Examiner

Cheryl Juska

Group Art Unit 1771



	E PERIOD	FOR RESPO	DNSE: [check of	only a) or b)]			
			•	he mailing date of th	e final rejection.		
1	is i	ires either three ater. In no even otion.	months from the m	nailing date of the fir statutory period for t	nal rejection, or on the r the response expire late	mailing date of this Advisory er than six months from the	Action, whichever date of the final
(date on which	h the response, the period of ext	the petition, and the	e fee have been file responding amount	d is the date of the rest	posed response and the apponse and also the date for to on fee pursuant to 37 CFR set forth in b) above.	he purposes of
☐ <i>i</i>	Appellant' period for	s Brief is due response set	two months fro forth above, wh	m the date of th hichever is later)	e Notice of Appeal . See 37 CFR 1.19	filed on	(or within any 2(a).
App but	olicant's i is NOT d	esponse to te eemed to pla	the final rejecti ace the applica	ion, filed on ation in condition	Mar 3, 2000 on for allowance:	has been consider	red with the following effect,
X	The propo	sed amendm	ent(s):				
ſ	will be	entered upo	n filing of a Not	ice of Appeal ar	nd an Appeal Brief.		
🗴 will not be entered because:							
	☐ the	y raise new i	ssues that woul	d require further	consideration and	/or search. (See note	below).
		•		ter. (See note b			
	iss	ues for appea	al.			peal by materially redu	
	☐ the	y present ad	ditional claims v	vithout cancellin	g a corresponding	number of finally rejec	ted claims.
	NOTE:	omission o	f the weight or t	tension applied t			ew matter, in that the to obtaining accurate and
(ant's respons	se has overcom	e the following r	ejection(s):		
		posed or am	ended claims			would i	ha allowable if submitted in a
**				celling the non-a	allowable claims.	would i	be allowable if submitted in a
	The affid for allowa	timely filed a avit, exhibit of ince because nents are uni	nmendment can r request for rec o: cersuasive in th	consideration ha	allowable claims. s been considered <i>er invention can in</i>	but does NOT place th	ne application in condition
	The affid for allows the argunand calculation.	timely filed a avit, exhibit of ince because nents are uni ilated ribbon	mendment can r request for rec :: <u>persuasive in the lengths upon can</u> will NOT be co	consideration ha	allowable claims. s been considered er invention can in duced tension) of t	but does NOT place th herently meet Applicar he Lieber netting.	ne application in condition
	The affid for allows the argurand calcumum The affid Examiner	timely filed a avit, exhibit of ince because nents are uni- ulated ribbon avit or exhibit in the final re	mendment can request for recent continued in the continue	consideration ha at the cited Lieb ontraction (or reconsidered because	allowable claims. s been considered er invention can in duced tension) of to se it is not directed	but does NOT place th herently meet Applicar he Lieber netting. SOLELY to issues whi	ne application in condition nt's claim limitations of actual ch were newly raised by the
	The affid for allows the argurand calculation and calculation for purport Claims at Claims of the affid Examines of the affid Examin	timely filed a avit, exhibit or ince because nents are uni- ulated ribbon avit or exhibit in the final ro ases of Appea lowed:	request for receivers. The request for receivers and the second	consideration ha at the cited Lieb contraction (or reconsidered because the claims is as	allowable claims. s been considered er invention can in duced tension) of the se it is not directed follows (see attach	but does NOT place the herently meet Applicant he Lieber netting. SOLELY to issues white written explanation	ne application in condition ont's claim limitations of actual ch were newly raised by the , if any):
	The affid for allows the argurand calculation and calculation for purport Claims at Claims of the affid Examines of the affid Examin	timely filed a avit, exhibit or ince because nents are uni- ulated ribbon avit or exhibit in the final ro ases of Appea lowed:	request for receivers. The request for receivers and the second	consideration ha at the cited Lieb contraction (or reconsidered because the claims is as	allowable claims. s been considered er invention can in duced tension) of the se it is not directed follows (see attach	but does NOT place the herently meet Applicant he Lieber netting. SOLELY to issues white written explanation	ne application in condition nt's claim limitations of actual ch were newly raised by the , if any):
	The affid for allows the argurand calculus The affid Examiner For purportions at Claims of Claims reference and the control of	timely filed a avit, exhibit or ince because ments are unputated ribbon avit or exhibit in the final reses of Appealowed:	request for receivers. The request for receivers and the receivers and the receivers and the status of the receivers and the receiv	eonsideration hat the cited Lieb ontraction (or reconsidered because the claims is as	allowable claims. s been considered er invention can in duced tension) of the se it is not directed follows (see attach	but does NOT place the herently meet Applicant he Lieber netting. SOLELY to issues white discussion with the explanation dected invention.	ne application in condition ont's claim limitations of actual ch were newly raised by the , if any):
□ ※	The affid for allows the argurand calculate the affid Examines For purportions at Claims of Claims returned to the properties of the properties of the properties at the properties of the properties at the properties of the properties at the properties at the properties of the properties at the prope	timely filed a avit, exhibit or ince because ments are unputated ribbon avit or exhibit in the final reses of Appealowed:	request for receivers. The request for receivers and the status of and 9 (claims 5- The request for receivers filed and 9 (claims 5- The receivers filed and 5 (correction filed)	eonsideration hat the cited Lieb ontraction (or reconsidered because the claims is as a second or second o	allowable claims. s been considered er invention can in duced tension) of the se it is not directed follows (see attach as being a non-ele	but does NOT place the herently meet Applicant he Lieber netting. SOLELY to issues white discussion with the explanation dected invention.	ne application in condition nt's claim limitations of actual ch were newly raised by the , if any): pproved by the Examiner.